

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,664	02/02/2001	Takatoshi Yamashita	03327.2252	7053
22852 7.	590 11/20/2002			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP , 1300 I STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			EL-SHAMMAA, MARY A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2881	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
055	09/773,664	YAMASHITA, TAKATOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mary A. El-Shammaa				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.131 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of the period patent term adjustment is set 37 CFR 1.704(b) after the mailing of the period patent term adjustment is set 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(3 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days II apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the statutory of the statutory minimum of the statuto	S) FROM ely filed will be considered timely.			
Status	,,	, access any			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E. Disposition of Claims	ice except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	osecution as to the merits is 3 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/25/00</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Application	No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic pri	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121					
Actachinent(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3 and 5</u> 	4) Interview Summary (PTo 5) Notice of Informal Paten 6) Other:	O-413) Paper No(s) It Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action S	Summary	Part of Paner No. 6			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Figures 1 and 3 are identical. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The first paragraph of the "Summary of the Invention" does not make a reference to a specific figure. It is believed that this paragraph was intended to be included with the "Description of Related Art".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bright et al. (5,554,852) in view of Maishev et al. (6,037,717).

Bright et al. discloses in Figure 2 a Bernus type ion source and method of operating said ion source comprising a plasma production vessel (110), a filament (116) located on one side of the plasma production vessel, and a reflector (120) on the other side of the plasma production vessel which is kept at a filament potential (Col. 4, Lines 14-48). Bright et al. does not disclose a magnet within said vessel satisfying the relationship of the shortest distance from a most frequent electron emission point at approximately the tip center of the filament to a wall face of said vessel being less than the Larmor radius. Maishev et al. discloses an ion source with a permanent magnet (166) located within said vessel (Col. 8, Lines 3-5). Maishev et al. also discloses a relation wherein the relationship of the shortest distance from a most frequent electron emission point at approximately the tip center of the filament to a wall face of said vessel is less than the Larmor radius (Col. 7, Lines 1-12, see Figures 4a-c). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ion source of Bright et al. with the teachings of Maishev et al. because adding said magnet would generate an electric field connecting the filament and the reflector and satisfying the relation of keeping the Larmor radius greater than said distance would keep the magnetic field from affecting the ion trajectory.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary A. El-Shammaa whose telephone number is 703.308.0851. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30am-5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on 703.308.4116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9318 for regular communications and 703.872.9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.872.9317.

mae

November 5, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800